

TPS40422 Design Example

Vout 1: 1.2V Output, 20A max

Vout 2: 3.3V Output, 15A max

12V Input



April 2012 - SLUA633

Design Example TPS40422 EVM PWR091

Applications, Power Management Products

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Description	5
2.1	Typical Applications	5
2.2	Features	5
3	Electrical Performance Specifications, 1.2V at 20A.....	6
4	Simplified Buck Schematic.....	7
5	Design Calculations, 1.2Vout.....	7
5.1	Output Inductor, L_o	7
5.2	Output Capacitance, C_{out}	9
5.3	Input Capacitance, C_{in}	12
5.4	Switching MOSFETs, Q_{HS} and Q_{LS}	14
5.5	Component Selection.....	18
5.5.1	Device Addressing, R_{ADDR0} and R_{ADDR1}	18
5.5.2	Current Sense Filter, R_5 and C_{23}	19
5.5.3	Voltage Decoupling Capacitors, C_{BP3} , C_{BP6} , and C_{VDD}	19
5.5.4	Bootstrap Capacitor, C_1	20
5.5.5	Snubber $R_{20/R33/R40}$ and C_{34}	20
5.5.6	Loop Compensation Components	21
5.5.7	Output Voltage Set Point, R_{bias}	22
5.5.8	Remote Sensing	23
6	Performance Data, 1.2Vout.....	23
6.1.1	Output Ripple, Measured Results.....	23
6.1.2	Input Ripple, Measured Results.....	24
6.1.3	Measured Efficiency, 1.2V Output Only, Measured Results.....	24
6.1.4	Load Regulation, 1.2V Output Only	25
6.1.5	Measured Loop Bode, 1.2V Output.....	25
6.1.6	Startup Waveform, 1.2V Output.....	27
6.1.7	Transient Response, 1.2V Output.....	28
7	Electrical Performance Specifications, 3.3V at 15A.....	29

8	Design Calculations, 3.3Vout	29
8.1	Power Components: Output Inductor, Output Capacitor Bank, FETs, and Input Capacitor Bank.....	29
8.1.1	Input Capacitance, C_{in}	30
8.1.2	Loop Compensation Components	32
8.1.3	Output Voltage Set Point, R_{bias}	32
8.1.4	Remote Sensing	32
9	Performance Data, 3.3Vout	33
9.1.1	Output Ripple, Measured Results.....	33
9.1.2	Input Ripple, Measured Results.....	34
9.1.3	Measured Efficiency, 3.3V Output Only	34
9.1.4	Load Regulation, 3.3V Output Only	34
9.1.5	Measured Loop Bode, 3.3V Output	35
9.1.6	Startup Waveform, 3.3V Output	35
9.1.7	Transient Response, 3.3V Output	37
10	Device Configuration	38
11	TPS40422 EVM PWR091 Assembly Drawing and PWB Layout.....	40
12	Bill of Materials	46

Figures

Figure 1:	Simplified Buck Schematic	7
Figure 2:	Inductor Current vs Line Voltage at 10A Load	8
Figure 3:	Output Capacitor Bank Impedance vs Frequency	11
Figure 4:	Predicted Output Ripple on Capacitor Bank	11
Figure 5:	Input Capacitor Bank Impedance vs Frequency	12
Figure 6:	Switch and Input Ceramic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 20A Out.....	13
Figure 7:	Switch and Input Electrolytic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 20A Out.....	13
Figure 8:	Switch Current and Input Bus Voltage at 14Vin and 20A Out	14
Figure 9:	Schematic	18
Figure 10:	Ideal Snubber waveforms.....	21
Figure 11:	Calculated Plant Bode for 1.2V Output	22
Figure 12:	Calculated Output Ripple vs Measured Output Ripple	24
Figure 13:	Calculated Input Ripple vs Measured Input Ripple	24
Figure 14:	Measured Efficiency of 1.2V Output Only	25
Figure 15:	Load Regulation of 1.2V Output	25
Figure 16:	Measured Closed Loop Bode	26
Figure 17:	Measured Startup Waveform	27
Figure 18:	Measured Pulse Response	28
Figure 19:	Switch and Input Ceramic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 15A Out.....	31
Figure 20:	Switch and Input Electrolytic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 15A Out.....	31
Figure 21:	Switch Current and Input Bus Voltage at 14Vin and 15A Out	32
Figure 22:	Measured Output Ripple on 3.3V at 14Vin and 15A out	33
Figure 23:	Calculated Input Ripple vs Measured Input Ripple	34

Figure 24:	Measured Efficiency of 3.3V Output Only	34
Figure 25:	Load Regulation of 3.3V Output	35
Figure 26:	Measured Closed Loop Bode	35
Figure 27:	Measured Startup Waveform	36
Figure 28:	Measured Pulse Response	37
Figure 29:	Advanced Configuration Window	38
Figure 30:	IOUT_OC_FAULT_RESPONSE Configuration Window.....	39
Figure 31:	PWR091 EVM Top Layer Assembly Drawing (Top View)	40
Figure 32:	PWR091 EVM Bottom Assembly Drawing (Bottom view).....	41
Figure 33:	PWR091 EVM Top Copper (Top View).....	42
Figure 34:	PWR091 EVM Internal Layer 1 (Top View)	43
Figure 35:	PWR091 EVM Internal Layer 2 (Top View)	44
Figure 36:	PWR091 EVM Bottom Copper (Bottom View).....	45

Tables

Table 1:	1.2V Design Electrical Parameters	6
Table 2:	Address Configuration	18
Table 3:	3.3V Design Electrical Parameters	29
Table 4:	EVM components list from the schematic shown in Figure 9:	46

1 Introduction

This document describes the design procedure used to generate the design of the dual-output EVM for the TPS40422, PWR091 EVM.

2 Description

The PWR091 EVM is the dual-output EVM which uses the TPS40422 controller. The TPS40422 is a two channel Synchronous Buck Controller with PMBus. It can be configured as a dual-output or two phase single output voltage mode controller. This design example is focused on the dual-output configuration. This controller combines the performance of an analog control loop with the convenience and configurability of a PMBus interface. While the control loop is purely analog, several parameters can be configured via the PMBus, and the PMBus can also be used to retrieve several operating conditions from the controller.

This dual-output design example provides the design procedure for one output of 1.2V at 20A, and a second output of 3.3V at 15A. The input voltage range is 8V to 14V.

2.1 Typical Applications

The TPS40422 is well suited for:

- Multiple Rail Systems
- Telecom Base Stations
- Switcher/Router Networking
- Server and Storage Systems

2.2 Features

This dual-output EVM features:

- Two independent outputs, 180° out-of-phase switching
- 1.2V at 20A
- 3.3V at 15A
- Input voltage range 8V to 14V
- Connector to interface with Texas Instruments Fusion Digital Power Designer GUI
- Convenient test and access points for several key signal nodes
- Low output noise
- High efficiency
- Four layer PWB with components on both sides


3 Electrical Performance Specifications, 1.2V at 20A

1.2V Design Electrical Parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Notes and Conditions	Min	Nom	Max	Units
INPUT CHARACTERISTICS						
Input Voltage	V _{in}		8	12	14	V
Input Current	I _{in}	V _{in} = 8V, I _{out} = 20A	-	3.6	-	A
No Load Input Current		V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 0A	-	60	-	mA
Vin Start Voltage	V _{in_START}		-	7	-	V
Vin Stop Voltage	V _{in_STOP}		-	5	-	V
OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS						
Output Voltage	V _{out}	V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 20A	1.08	1.2	1.32	V
Line Regulation		V _{in} = 8V to 14V, I _{out} = 20A	-	-	0.5	%
Load Regulation (at sense points)		V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 0A to 20A	-	-	0.5	%
Output Ripple Voltage	V _{out_ripple}	V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 20A	-	-	50	mVpp
Output Current	I _{out}	V _{in} = 8V to 14V	0		20	A
Output Over Current Inception Point	IOCP	V _{in} = 12V	21	25	29	A
Soft-Start	SS	(default)	-	2.6	-	mSec
Transient Response						
Load Step	ΔI	I _{out} = 10A to 5A	-	5	-	A
Load Slew Rate			-	1	-	A/μS
Overshoot			-	100	-	mV
Settling Time			-	100	-	μS
SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS						
Switching Frequency	F _{sw}			300		kHz
Peak Efficiency	η _{pk}	V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 0A to 20A	-	90	-	%
Full Load Efficiency	η	V _{in} = 12V, I _{out} = 20A	-	85	-	%
Operating Temperature Range	T _{oper}	V _{in} = 8V to 14V, I _{out} = 0A to 20A	-40		60	°C

4 Simplified Buck Schematic

The figure below is a simplified circuit schematic of a non-isolated Buck converter:



Simplified Buck Schematic

5 Design Calculations, 1.2 V_{out}

Following are detailed design calculations of the components in the above Buck converter with the specifications in Table 1:

5.1 Output Inductor, L_o

Several parameters affect the value selection of the output inductor. The current up-ramp and down-ramp are equal in amplitude at any steady state, and either can be used to determine the inductor value. The down-ramp is set by the output voltage and the OFF or freewheeling time, which is the time the low-side FET is ON. This time is in turn set by the switching frequency and the ratio of input to output voltage.

For typical applications, the inductor value is chosen such that its peak-peak current ramp is about 30% of the maximum load current. The highest current ramp occurs at high line, so these calculations are done at high line. This leads to:

Equation 1 I_{p-p}

More details:

Parameter	Note	Value
I_{p-p}	30% of I_{max}	6A

Fsw	Switching Frequency	300kHz (defined)
Period	1/(Fsw)	3.33uSec
Duty Cycle (at Vin_max)	Vout/Vin = 1.2/14	8.57%
ON time (at Vin_max)	high-side FET ON = (8.57%)*3.33uSec	285.7nSec
OFF time (at Vin_max)	low-side FET ON = (100-8.57%)*3.33uSec	3.05uSec

Equation 2 Equation Describing Inductor

$$V_L = L \frac{di}{dt} \quad \text{or:} \quad L = V_L \frac{dt}{di} \quad \text{or:} \quad di = dt \frac{V_L}{L} \quad \text{or:} \quad \frac{di}{dt} = \frac{V_L}{L}$$


Using the OFF time and the current ramp-down:

$$L = V_L \frac{dt}{di} = 1.2 \text{ V} \cdot \frac{3.05 \mu\text{s}}{6 \text{ A}} = 610 \text{ nH}$$

This defines the inductance that will yield a current ramp or ripple of no more than 6Ap-p at high line of 14V. For this design a 750nH inductor from Würth (part number 744355182) was selected. The actual ripple current should now be recalculated using the actual inductance value:

$$di = dt \frac{V_L}{L} = 3.05 \mu\text{s} \cdot \frac{1.2 \text{ V}}{0.75 \mu\text{H}} = 4.88 \text{ A p-p}$$

With this ripple current, the inductor RMS and Peak current values can be calculated. Shown below are examples of inductor current at different line voltages, all at 10A dc load current.



Inductor Current vs Line Voltage at 10A Load

The RMS value of a zero-average triangular wave, regardless of rise and fall symmetry, is given by:

Equation 3 RMS of Triangle

$$RMS_{\text{Triangle}} = \frac{\text{Amplitude (p-p)}}{\sqrt{12}}$$

Substituting, we get:

$$I_{\text{RMS Triangle}} = \frac{4.88(\text{p-p})}{\sqrt{12}} = 1.409 \text{ A}_{\text{RMS}}$$

Equation 4 Inductor RMS Current, I_{RMS}

$$I_{\text{RMS}} = \text{Root of Sum of Squares of DC and AC Current} = \sqrt{(I_{\text{DC}})^2 + (I_{\text{AC}})^2}$$

At max load, this leads to:

$$I_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{(I_{\text{DC}})^2 + (I_{\text{AC}})^2} = \sqrt{20^2 + \left(4 \cdot \frac{88}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2} = \sqrt{400 + 1.9845} = 20.05 \text{ A}_{\text{RMS}}$$

At max load and max line, the peak inductor current is given by:

The conduction losses in the inductor can be calculated with RMS current and the DC resistance (DCR) of the inductor. The DCR of the selected inductor, from the datasheet, is:

$$\text{DCR} = 0.9 \text{ m}\Omega$$

This leads to:

Equation 5 Inductor Conduction Losses

$$P = I^2 R = (I_{\text{RMS}})^2 \text{DCR} = 20.05^2 (0.9 \text{ m}\Omega) = 0.3618 \text{ Watts}$$

5.2 Output Capacitance, C_{out}

The output capacitance can be selected using different criteria. For the purposes of this design example, the capacitor will be selected based on two criteria: 1) the allowable output ripple voltage, and 2) a rule-of-thumb of a fixed amount of energy storage per watt output.

The ripple voltage developed across the output capacitor is due to the ripple current in the capacitor and the three elements within the capacitor:

- 1) The ripple current flowing through the resistive ESR of the capacitor.
- 2) The integral of the ripple current and the resulting charging and discharging of the capacitance C.
- 3) The derivative of the ripple current and the resulting voltage developed across the ESL of the capacitor.

Depending on the type of capacitor chosen, the majority of the output ripple will usually be due to either the ESR or the value of C. If an electrolytic capacitor is chosen, typically the resistive element (ESR) of the output noise dominates and is much larger than the capacitive charging/discharging. In order to obtain an effective ESR low

enough to meet the noise specifications of the power supply, often several electrolytics must be placed in parallel, and the resulting capacitor bank will have a large value of capacitance. As such, the capacitive component of the output noise adds little to the overall ripple voltage. The inductive element (ESL) does typically produce a significant amplitude of output noise, but often this higher frequency noise is excluded from the noise performance of a power supply because most real loads have a local decoupling capacitor bank. This local decoupling, along with the inductive and resistive parasitic elements of the copper traces between the power supply and the load, effectively attenuate this component of noise. The inductive element will not be considered here, but note that good component layout and copper routing at the switcher will always help reduce the inductive component of power supply output noise.

If instead only ceramic capacitors are chosen, typically the ESR and ESL are quite low value, and the majority of the voltage ripple results from the ripple current charging and discharging the capacitance. While ceramic capacitors offer very good ripple performance, often the value of C is relatively small and so the power supply transient response performance can suffer during very large transients such as hot plugging a capacitive load.

The approach of using a rule-of-thumb of joules of storage per watt output can be used in cases where there is a potential for hot-plugging loads. Most real electronic circuits contain decoupling capacitors within the circuitry, and when these loads are hot-plugged they present a bank of discharged capacitors. This hot-plug event results in a very rapid transfer of charge from the power supply capacitor bank to the load capacitor bank, and the transient is so rapid that the control loop cannot be designed to be fast enough to respond before a very large voltage deviation appears across the output bus. If there is not sufficient capacitance in the power supply output, the voltage bus can collapse briefly, and other electronic loads previously connected to the bus can crash as well. For systems where hot plugging of loads is expected, in general it is desirable to design to have at least 10 times the energy storage in the power supply as is expected at the hot-plugged capacitive load. While the joules per watt storage can be any number depending on the type of load environment, the number used in this example is 25uJ/Watt load. If this were a shelf converter design where entire electronic cards could be hot-plugged, this number would be much larger, in the range of 150uJ/W or 300uJ/W. Joules per watt load is related to the time constant of the output capacitor bank and the equivalent resistance of the load.

Equation 6 Energy Storage Per Watt Load

$$E = \left(\frac{25\mu\text{J}}{\text{Watt}} \right) \times (1.2 \times 20) = 25\mu \times 24 = 600\mu \text{ Joules}$$

$$E = 600\mu \text{ Joules} = 0.5 \times C \times (1.2)^2$$

Solving for C:

Equation 7 Minimum Capacitance Required

$$C_{\text{outmin}} = \frac{600\mu}{0.5 \times (1.2)^2} = 833\mu\text{F}$$


This is the minimum capacitance required at this voltage and power level, and it implies that any hot-plugged load should have no more than 83uF of bus capacitance. With a requirement of at least 883uF, it would be costly to use only ceramic capacitors. Often a parallel combination of different types and values of output capacitors yields the best overall performance, and that is the approach taken here.

Consider the following capacitors:

Part Number	Value	ESR	ESL	Quantity
-------------	-------	-----	-----	----------


T520D337M006ATE015 Kemet Polymer	330uF	15mΩ	2nH	2
Standard Ceramic	100uF	3mΩ	0.85nH	3
Standard Ceramic	22uF	2.1mΩ	0.85nH	2

The impedance versus frequency for each individual capacitor was obtained as well as the parallel combination of all 7 capacitors using Texas Instruments simulation tool TINA. These results are shown here:



Output Capacitor Bank Impedance vs Frequency

Using the same TINA simulation tool and with the worst case ripple current previously calculated, the resulting ripple voltage for the parallel bank of output capacitors was obtained and is shown here:



Predicted Output Ripple on Capacitor Bank

Neglecting any additional noise due to non-ideal layout, these results meet both the minimum capacitance requirements as well as the ripple voltage requirement.


5.3 Input Capacitance, C_{in}

The input capacitor is selected to handle the ripple current of the buck stage while maintaining the ripple voltage on the supply line low. This is especially important in cases where the supply line has relatively high impedance, which means that all or most of the AC current required by the buck stage must be supplied by the input capacitor bank. Due to the discontinuous nature of the buck input current, the high-frequency ripple current requirements on the input capacitors are often greater than those of the output capacitors.

In this design example, the design goal was to select input capacitance such that the input ripple voltage is 20% or less of V_{in} . The ripple voltage is due to the current flowing in the input capacitor bank and like the output capacitor ripple, this will be a combination of ESR drop as well as capacitance charging and discharging. To simplify the calculations, an infinitely large series input inductance is assumed. In reality the input inductance will be a finite value, and the result will be that the AC current in the input capacitor bank will be lower than calculated here as will be the resulting AC ripple voltage, but at the cost of an increase in the AC ripple current in the supply lines as compared to the case with an infinitely large inductor.


Consider the capacitor EEETK1E331UP from Panasonic, which is an electrolytic 330 μ F, 25V capacitor with 200m Ω of ESR and 100nH ESL. Calculations show that the rms value of the input capacitor bank current at full load and 14Vin has a value of 6Arms, while this capacitor only has a rating of 270mA. This implies that if this capacitor is used, there will also need to be other capacitance with a much lower ESR across the input bus so as to steer most of the AC current to this low ESR capacitor.

Another readily available capacitor was selected, and it is a 22 μ F ceramic, 25V, 5.5mohm ESR, 0.99nH ESL device, three in parallel. These four capacitors in parallel yield the following results:




Input Capacitor Bank Impedance vs Frequency


Shown below are the resulting currents in the input electrolytic and ceramic capacitors ($I_{ceramic}$ and $I_{electro}$), again assuming an infinitely large input inductance. Also shown is the resulting capacitor bank ripple voltage. Note that even with the large value electrolytic capacitor, the very low ESR ceramic capacitors carry the bulk of ripple current, and the resulting ripple in the electrolytic is well within its rating with a value of 146mA_{rms} (calculated but not shown here).



Switch and Input Ceramic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 20A Out



Switch and Input Electrolytic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 20A Out



Switch Current and Input Bus Voltage at 14Vin and 20A Out

5.4 Switching MOSFETs, Q_{HS} and Q_{LS}

The following key parameters need to be met when selecting the FETs for the buck stage.

- V_{ds} – The drain to source voltage rating must be able to handle the maximum input voltage plus inductive spikes. This design requires a 30V device.
- V_{gs} – The gate to source voltage rating must be able to handle the gate drive voltage. For the TPS40422 this voltage is 6.5V.
- Power dissipation – The $R_{ds(on)}$ of the MOSFET must be low enough to minimize the power loss due to conduction currents
- Gate Charge – The MOSFET's gate charge should be low enough for the PWM controller to easily drive the MOSFET. This will minimize switching and body diode losses.

The FETs were selected based on voltage rating and R_{DS_ON} . These devices are:

Location	Part #	Vrating	R_{DS_ON}	Q_G
High-side	CSD87350Q5D (1/2)	30V	5m Ω	8.4nC
Low-side	CSD87350Q5D (1/2)	30V	1.2m Ω	20nC

From the datasheet, the body diode forward voltage and recovery data is:

Part #	Diode Vf	Recovery Charge Q_{rr}	Recovery Time t_{rr}
CSD87350Q5D	0.77V	32nC	28nS

The losses in these FETs are the sum of conduction losses and switching losses:

Equation 8 FET Losses

$$P_{FET} = P_{conduction} + P_{switching}$$

Breaking this down further we get:

$$P_{\text{conduction}} = (I_{\text{RMS}}^2 \times R_{\text{DS(on)}}) + (P_{\text{body diode conduction}})$$

$$P_{\text{switching}} = P_{\text{channel turn ON}} + P_{\text{channel turn OFF}} + P_{\text{Coss charge}} + P_{\text{Coss discharge}} + P_{\text{gate}} + P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}}$$

Where:

Term	Description
I_{RMS}	RMS current in FET
$R_{\text{DS ON}}$	resistance of FET when fully enhanced
$P_{\text{body diode conduction}}$	losses in FET body diode when FET is OFF and conducting source-to-drain current (V*I)
$P_{\text{channel turn ON}}$	resistive losses in the channel as FET turns ON ($\int I^2 dt$)
$P_{\text{channel turn OFF}}$	resistive losses in the channel as FET turns OFF ($\int I^2 dt$)
$P_{\text{Coss charge}}$	losses in the channel as it charges complement FET's Coss ($\frac{1}{2}CV^2$)
$P_{\text{Coss discharge}}$	losses in the channel as it discharges its own Coss ($\frac{1}{2}CV^2$)
P_{gate}	losses in the drive circuit as it drives the FET gate capacitance ($\frac{1}{2}CV^2$)
$P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}}$	reverse recovery losses in body diode of low-side FET (QVF)

The low-side switch will not have any switching losses related to $\int I^2 dt$ because it will always experience ZVS during

turn ON, and will experience ZVS during turn OFF at loads higher than $\frac{(P\text{-ripple})}{2}$, and so it will not be included here. Normally the terms ($P_{\text{channel turn ON}}$) and ($P_{\text{channel turn OFF}}$) are significant and need to be calculated for the high-side switch. However, since this design will be using Texas Instruments NexFETs which have extremely low gate-to-drain charge Q_{GD} and therefore very rapid switching times, the switching loss terms in the high-side FET related to the integral $\int I^2 dt$ will be neglected. So the switching losses will be limited to the four remaining terms:

$$P_{\text{switching}} = P_{\text{Coss charge}} + P_{\text{Coss discharge}} + P_{\text{gate}} + P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}}$$

First, the RMS current in the high-side FET can be calculated by:

Equation 9 High-Side FET RMS Current

$$I_{\text{HS RMS}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}}\right) \times \left(I_{\text{out}}^2 + \left(\frac{\text{Iripple}}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2\right)}$$

Substituting at high line of 14V and full load:

$$I_{\text{HS RMS}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_{\text{out}}}{V_{\text{in}}}\right) \times \left(I_{\text{out}}^2 + \left(\frac{\text{Iripple}}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2\right)} = \sqrt{\left(1, \frac{2}{14}\right) \times \left(20^2 + \left(4, \frac{88}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2\right)} = 3.87 \text{ A}_{\text{RMS}}$$

and similarly for the low-side FET:

Equation 10 Low-Side FET RMS Current

$$I_{LS_{RMS}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_{in} - V_{out}}{V_{in}}\right) \times \left(I_{out}^2 + \left(\frac{I_{ripple}}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2\right)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{14 - 1.2}{14}\right) \times \left(20^2 + \left(4 \cdot \frac{88}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2\right)} = 19.17 \text{ A}_{RMS}$$

There are 2 sections of dead-time per cycle, which leads to:

$$P_{\text{body diode conduction}} = 2 \times I_{DC} \times 0.77 \times 23 \text{ nSec} \times 300 \text{ kHz} = 231 \text{ mW}$$

The reverse recovery losses in the low-side FET body diode occur once per period, and are due to the rapid snap-off of the diodes as they transition from forward conduction to reverse blocking. The losses incurred during this recovery can be obtained by:

$$P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}} = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 F$$

The implied capacitance is quite non-linear, so it is more accurate to use the Reverse Recovery charge Q_{rr} , which is usually specified. Combining:

$$P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}} = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 F \quad \text{and} \quad Q = VC \quad \text{or} \quad C = \frac{Q}{V}$$

we get:

$$P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{V} V^2 F = \frac{1}{2} QVF = \frac{1}{2} \times 32 \text{ n} \times 14 \times 300 \text{ kHz} = 67.2 \text{ mW}$$

The $R_{DS_{ON}}$ losses in the FETs can be calculated:

$$P_{\text{HS conduction}} = I_{RMS}^2 \times R_{DS_{ON}} = (3.87)^2 \times 3 \text{ m} = 172.3 \text{ mW}$$

$$P_{\text{LS conduction}} = I_{RMS}^2 \times R_{DS_{ON}} = (19.17)^2 \times 1.2 \text{ m} = 441 \text{ mW}$$

The energy required to drive the gate is related to the gate capacitance, the voltage to which it is charged, and how frequently it is charged. This energy is defined by:

Equation 11 Gate Energy

$$E_{\text{gate}} = \frac{1}{2} CV^2 \times \text{Frequency}$$

Note that:

- the energy required to charge a capacitor through a resistor is double the resulting stored energy
- it does not require any drive energy to discharge the same gate capacitance (other than a small amount of energy to supply the associated logic, which will be ignored)
- in a non-isolated Buck, there is only one such charging event per period per FET
- usually the gate C is non-linear, and it is more accurate to use the gate charge

$$Q = C \times V \quad \text{or} \quad C = \frac{Q}{V}$$

Substituting:

$$E_{\text{gate}} = 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{Q}{V} V^2 \times \text{Frequency} = QVF$$

For the high-side FET, this becomes:

$$E_{\text{gate}} = \frac{2}{2} \times \frac{Q}{V} V^2 \times \text{Frequency} = (8.4\text{E} - 9) \times 6.5 \times 300000 = 16.4\text{mW}$$

For the low-side FET, this becomes:

$$E_{\text{gate}} = \frac{2}{2} \times \frac{Q}{V} V^2 \times \text{Frequency} = (7.9\text{E} - 9) \times 0.5 \times 300000 = 15.4\text{mW}$$

In the equation above, the charge that was used is the sum of the Q_{GS} and the Q_{GD} (3.6n+4.3n) but not the Q_{OSS} since the Q_{OSS} will be charged and discharged by the ZVS transitions and not by the gate drive circuitry that is incurring these implied losses.

In order to calculate the terms $P_{\text{Coss charge}}$ and $P_{\text{Coss discharge}}$, it must be noted that during the transition from high-to-low on the switch node, the high-side FET Coss will obtain its charge from, and the low-side FET Coss will deliver its charge to the load through the output choke. So even though it requires twice the resulting stored energy to charge a capacitor through a resistor, the stored capacitive energy is not lost in this case, but rather used. This negates the doubling in the calculation of energy to charge the Coss capacitors during this transition. This transition is the charging of the high-side Coss and the discharging of the low-side Coss. So the energy loss occurs only during the low-to-high transition of the switch node, which is the discharging of the high-side Coss and the charging of the low-side Coss.

Equation 12 Coss Losses

$$E_{\text{HS Coss}} = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{Q}{V} V^2 \times \text{Frequency} = \frac{9.7\text{n} \times 14 \times 300000}{2} = 20.37\text{mW}$$

and

$$E_{\text{LS Coss}} = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{Q}{V} V^2 \times \text{Frequency} = \frac{28\text{n} \times 14 \times 300000}{2} = 58.8\text{mW}$$

Now the total losses in the FETs are:


$$P_{\text{HS Total}} = P_{\text{conduction}} + P_{\text{HS Coss discharge}} + P_{\text{LS Coss charge}} + P_{\text{gate}} = 172.3\text{m} + 20.37\text{m} + 58.8\text{m} + 16.4\text{m} = 267.87\text{mW}$$

$$P_{\text{LS Total}} = P_{\text{conduction}} + P_{\text{body diode conduction}} + P_{\text{gate}} + P_{\text{BD Reverse Recovery}} = 441\text{m} + 231\text{m} + 15.4\text{m} + 67.2\text{m} = 754.6\text{mW}$$

It must be noted that the gate losses (P_{gate}) were included here in the FET losses, but they are actually losses incurred by the drive circuitry in the TPS40422.

5.5 Component Selection

Refer to the schematic below for device reference designators and connections.



Schematic

Device Addressing, R_{ADDR0} and R_{ADDR1}

The PMBus address for the device must be read from the ADDR0 and ADDR1 pins. Each pin has an internal pull-up current source from BP3 and is connected to one of the inputs to the ADC. The external resistors R_{ADDR0} and R_{ADDR1} from the address pins to ground set 8 possible states which are distinguishable on each pin for a total of 64 possible addresses. The address states are determined by voltages on the address pins per Table 2:.

Address Configuration

Digit	Resistance (kΩ)	Digit	Resistance (kΩ)
0	10	4	54.9
1	15.4	5	84.5
2	23.7	6	130
3	36.5	7	200

For this design, the address of 33 octal, or 27 decimal will be selected arbitrarily. In order to achieve this address, the ADDR0 resistor R5 would be 36.5k and the ADDR1 resistor R4 would be 36.5k.

Current Sense Filter, R5 and C23

Current sensing for the TPS40422 is typically done by sensing the voltage drop across the output inductor's DC resistance. In order to do this, the large AC switching voltage forced across L1 must be filtered out so that the measured voltage is only the DC drop. This is done by placing an R-C filter directly across the output choke L1. The R-C combination is chosen such that it provides enough filtering for the application, but for the TPS40422 the resistance value must not exceed 2k Ω in order to keep the error from the ISNS bias current to a minimum. So in order to limit the error introduced by the value of R_{sense} (R5), its value must be no more than 2k Ω .

For the filter capacitor, a design goal for the R-C time constant is to have it match the time constant of the output

choke L1 and its DCR, which is given by: $\tau = \frac{L1}{DCR}$. So we get:

Line	Parameter	Result
1	L1	= 750nH
2	DCR _{L1}	= 0.9m Ω
3	R5	= 2k Ω
4	C23	= $\frac{L1}{DCR} \times \frac{1}{R} = \frac{750n}{0.9m \times 2k} = 416.7nF$. Choose 470nF

The capacitor C23 should be placed as close to the ISNS+ and ISNS- pins as possible to provide good bypass filtering. R5 should be placed close to the inductor to prevent traces with the switch node voltage from being propagated across the PWB and getting close to sensitive pins of the TPS40422.

Voltage Decoupling Capacitors, C_{BP3}, C_{BP6}, and C_{VDD}

Three pins on the TPS40422 have DC bias voltages. It is necessary to add small decoupling capacitors to these pins. Below are the recommended minimum values:

Device Location	Recommended Minimum Value	Function	Selected Value
C _{BP3} , C12	0.1uF low ESR	Bypass cap for the internal regulator that supplies power to the internal controls of the device.	1uF ceramic
C _{BP6} , C10	1uF low ESR	Bypass pin for the internal regulator that supplies power to the gate drivers.	1uF ceramic
C _{VDD} , C11	0.1uF low ESR	Bypass cap for input power to the device.	1uF, with additional series 10 Ω filter resistor R36 to filter out switching noise from the power FETs.
C _{BPEXT} , C9	0.1uF low ESR	Bypass cap for the external voltage source to be used instead of BP6 if desired. **	0. 1uF ceramic, and a 10k Ω resistor in parallel.

**Note: It is also recommended to use a resistor in parallel with C_{BPEXT} when BPEXT is not being used, to avoid this voltage floating towards the turn ON threshold for BPEXT. A resistor of no more than 10k Ω should be used.

Bootstrap Capacitor, C1

Selection of the bootstrap capacitor is based on the total gate charge of the high-side FET and the allowable ripple on the BOOT pin. A ripple of 0.2 V is chosen as maximum for this design. Using these two parameters the minimum value for C_{BOOT} can be calculated:

Equation 13 C_{BOOT}

$$C_{BOOT}, C1 \geq \frac{Q_{GHS}}{V_{BOOT\text{Ripple}}} = 8 \cdot \frac{4n}{0.2} = 42nF, \quad \text{Choose a standard value of } 100nF$$

Additionally, a series resistor R1 is added for the purpose of slightly reducing the turn-ON speed of the high-side FET Q1. This resistor has only limited effect because at the beginning of the HDRV gate pulse when the absolute value of gate voltage is still less than BP6, most of the gate current will come directly from BP6 instead of the BOOT cap.

Snubber R20/R33/R40 and C34

The purpose of this snubber network is to damp the high frequency ringing on the switch node, and in doing so also reduce the peak voltage stress on the low-side FET. The amount and amplitude of ringing depends on several parameters, some of which are parasitic components and not necessarily well defined. It is possible to attempt to include all discrete and parasitic parameters in a calculation of snubber values, but experience shows that a simpler method is quick and well suited to a first iteration of snubber values. This method consists of designing the snubber based on an allowable power budget to be dissipated in the snubber. Once these values are determined and real hardware is obtained, the snubber values can be adjusted to further optimize results, but often this approach will yield a good first iteration.


This design is a 1.2V 24Watt power converter. If we were to design a snubber that dissipated 2.4 watts, the result would likely be very well behaved switch node waveforms with little ringing and overshoot, but at an unacceptable cost of 10% in efficiency. Instead, we will use a rule-of-thumb of a target power dissipation in the output snubber of between 0.25% and 0.5% (of P_{out}).

Normally the RC time constant is designed to be short enough such that the capacitor is fully charged or discharged before the next switching edge. This implies that the power dissipation in the snubber resistor is determined only by the capacitor value and independent of resistor value. While it may seem contradictory, we will assume an ideal switch node waveform, so that the highest voltage to which the capacitor gets charged is $V_{in\text{ max}}$, in this case 14V. This, along with the target dissipation will determine the capacitor value.

The remaining snubber component, the resistor, will be determined such that the RC time constant (actually 5RC) is set to 10% of the shortest pulse width. The shortest pulse width will occur also at $V_{in\text{ max}}$ of 14V. Putting all this together, we get:

Parameter	Description	Result
V _{max}	Max capacitor voltage	$V_{max} = 14V$
T _{pulse}	Shortest Pulse Width	$T = 1. \frac{2}{14} \times \frac{1}{300kHz} = 286nSec$
P _{snubber}	Power Budget for Snubber	$P = P_{out} \times 0. \frac{28}{100} = \frac{24 \times 0.28}{100} = 60mW$
E/edge	Energy in cap for each switching edge	$\frac{E}{edge} = \frac{1}{2} CV^2$
E/cycle	Energy in cap for each switching cycle	$\frac{E}{cycle} = \frac{2E}{edge} = CV^2$
E/second	Energy in cap each second, or Joules/sec, or Watts	$\frac{E}{Sec} = \frac{E}{cycle} \times F_{sw} = CV^2 \times 300kHz$
C _{snubber}	Snubber cap value	$C = \frac{60mW}{V^2 \times 300kHz} = \frac{60mW}{196 \times 300kHz} = 1.02nF$
5RC	5 Snubber Time Constants	$5RC = \frac{286nSec}{10} = 28.6nSec$
R _{snubber}	Snubber resistor value	$R < 28. \frac{6n}{5C} = 28. \frac{6n}{5 \times 1n} = 5.72\Omega$


The above yields a good first iteration for the snubber components. For reasons of form factor and availability of components, three 10Ω resistors were chosen in parallel. See figure below for details on the associated waveforms. Shown are calculated results with three different R values but with the same 1nF snubber cap. The waveforms shown are the switch node and the voltage across the resistor.



Ideal Snubber waveforms

Loop Compensation Components

The natural response, or plant, of this power converter is determined by the voltage mode topology, the output inductor, the output capacitors, and the DC gain of the controller. Once these parameters are determined, the plant can be calculated. The output inductor and capacitor have already been determined, and from the TPS40422 datasheet we can find the DC gain, and it is specified as 8.3V/V. Combining these parameters together we can calculate the plant. These calculations will not be shown here, but the resulting plant is shown in Figure 11:below.



Calculated Plant Bode for 1.2V Output

Shown above is the calculated plant Bode. The desired crossover frequency was chosen at a target of approximately 4x F_0 , or 20kHz, where F_0 is:

Equation 14 Output L-C F_0

$$F_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{750n \times 1004\mu}} = 5.8\text{kHz}$$

The Texas Instruments SwitcherPro design tool was used along with Venable's K-Factor method to compensate the above plant, and this yields the following 5 compensation component values for a Type-III compensator. Measured results are given in the Performance Data section.

Component Location	Value
R14	4.75k Ω
R15	20k Ω
C24	470pF
C25	1.2nF
C26	120pF

Output Voltage Set Point, Rbias

The output voltage can be set by choosing and calculating R1 and Rbias using Equation 15.

Equation 15 Vout Set Point

$$R_{bias} = \frac{V_{ref} \times R_1}{V_{out} - V_{ref}} \quad \text{or using the schematic Ref Des 1} \quad R_{13} = \frac{V_{ref} \times R_{12}}{V_{out} - V_{ref}} = \frac{0.6 \times 47.5k}{1.2 - 0.6} = 47.5k$$

In this design R12 was chosen to be 47.5kΩ. R13 is calculated to also be 47.5kΩ.


Remote Sensing


Remote sensing can be done with the integrated differential amplifier. The VSNS1 and GSNS1 lines, which are the inputs to the differential amplifier, should be remotely connected to the load as well as through low-ohm resistors to the output connector. This configuration is used to compensate for voltage drops in the high current paths between the converter output and the load. This is typically used to improve load regulation in high current designs. Resistors R17 and R18 in the schematic are used in case the sense connections fail or get damaged. In this case, these resistors would prevent the converter from going into an open loop condition where a high voltage on the output could occur that could damage the load or the converter. The values of R17 and R18 are bound by an upper value such that the voltage drop across them does not introduce appreciable error from the bias current, and a lower value such that the voltage drop in the load wires which appears across these resistors does not dissipate appreciable power. Values of 10Ω to 50Ω are usually chosen.

6 Performance Data, 1.2Vout

Output Ripple, Measured Results


Shown below is a comparison of the calculated (simulated) output ripple versus the actual measured output ripple with the selected capacitors. Note that this is not a perfect match, and the differences are due to the typical values used in the calculations versus the actual values which often have a lower than typical ESR value.





Calculated Output Ripple vs Measured Output Ripple

Input Ripple, Measured Results




Calculated Input Ripple vs Measured Input Ripple

It is recommended that the supply line impedance be kept as low as possible by using short connections and sufficient wire gauge.

Measured Efficiency, 1.2V Output Only, Measured Results


Shown below is the measured efficiency of the EVM with only the 1.2V output operating. These measurements were taken with V_{in} measured at the input cap closest to the switching FETs, and V_{out} measured from the inductor pin to the same input cap return.



Measured Efficiency of 1.2V Output Only

Load Regulation, 1.2V Output Only


Shown below is the load regulation of the EVM of the 1.2V output.



Load Regulation of 1.2V Output

Measured Loop Bode, 1.2V Output

Shown below is the measured and calculated Loop Bode response of the EVM for the 1.2V output.




Measured Closed Loop Bode

Startup Waveform, 1.2V Output

Shown below is the measured startup of the 1.2V output.

Input	Output	Iout	
12V	1.2V	20A	
Ch1	Ch2	Ch3	
Vout1 at 200mV/box	Iout1 at 5A/box	Vin at 5V/box	


Ch2 is inverted to better display V and I.



Measured Startup Waveform

Transient Response, 1.2V Output

Shown below is the measured transient response of the 1.2V output.



Measured Pulse Response

7 Electrical Performance Specifications, 3.3V at 15A

3.3V Design Electrical Parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Notes and Conditions	Min	Nom	Max	Units
INPUT CHARACTERISTICS						
Input Voltage	Vin		8	12	14	V
Input Current	Iin	Vin = 8V, Iout = 15A	-	6.5	-	A
No Load Input Current		Vin = 12V, Iout = 0A	-	60	-	mA
Vin Start Voltage	Vin_START		-	7	-	V
Vin Stop Voltage	Vin_STOP		-	5	-	V
OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS						
Output Voltage	Vout	Vin = 12V, Iout = 15A	2.97	3.3	3.63	V
Line Regulation		Vin = 8V to 14V, Iout = 15A	-	-	0.5	%
Load Regulation (at sense points)		Vin = 12V, Iout = 0A to 15A	-	-	0.5	%
Output Ripple Voltage	Vout_ripple	Vin = 12V, Iout = 15A	-	-	50	mVpp
Output Current	Iout	Vin = 8V to 14V	0		20	A
Output Over Current Inception Point	IOCP	Vin = 12V	16	20	34	A
Soft-Start	SS	(default)	-	2.6	-	mSec
Transient Response						
Load Step	ΔI	Iout = 10A to 5A	-	5	-	A
Load Slew Rate			-	1	-	A/ μ S
Overshoot			-	100	-	mV
Settling Time			-	100	-	μ S
SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS						
Switching Frequency	Fsw			300		kHz
Peak Efficiency	η_{pk}	Vin = 12V, Iout = 0A to 20A	-	93	-	%
Full Load Efficiency	η	Vin = 12V, Iout = 20A	-	90	-	%
Operating Temperature Range	Toper	Vin = 8V to 14V, Iout = 0A to 20A	-40		60	$^{\circ}$ C

8 Design Calculations, 3.3Vout

Following are detailed design calculations of the components in the above Buck converter with the specifications in Table 3:

8.1 Power Components: Output Inductor, Output Capacitor Bank, FETs, and Input Capacitor Bank

In an effort to simplify the BOM and the design itself, the same power components will be used. Not only does this simplify the calculations, but note that the EVM can be configured for different output voltages, so the selection of power components can be done on a case by case approach as needed. The majority of the following calculations are reduced to verifying that these components are also suited for the different voltage and power of Vout 2.

More details:

Parameter	Note	Value
Fsw	Switching Frequency	300kHz (defined)
Period	$1/(F_{sw})$	3.33 μ Sec
Duty Cycle (at Vin_max)	Vout/Vin = 3.3/14	23.57%
ON time (at Vin_max)	high-side FET ON = (8.57%)*3.33 μ Sec	785.7nSec
OFF time (at Vin_max)	low-side FET ON = (100-8.57%)*3.33 μ Sec	2.548 μ Sec

For this design a 750nH inductor from Würth (part number 744355182) was selected. The actual ripple current should now be recalculated using the actual inductance value:

$$di = dt \frac{V_L}{L} = 2.547 \mu s \cdot \frac{3}{0.75 \mu} = 11.21 \text{ A/p}$$

With this ripple current, the inductor RMS and Peak current values can be calculated.

$$I_{\text{RMS}_{\text{Triangle}}} = \frac{11.21(\text{p-p})}{\sqrt{12}} = 3.236 \text{ A}_{\text{RMS}}$$

Equation 16 Inductor RMS Current, I_{RMS}

$$I_{\text{RMS}} = \text{Root of Sum of Squares of DC and AC Current} = \sqrt{(I_{\text{DC}})^2 + (I_{\text{AC}})^2}$$

At max load, this leads to:

$$I_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{(I_{\text{DC}})^2 + (I_{\text{AC}})^2} = \sqrt{15^2 + \left(11 \cdot \frac{21}{\sqrt{12}}\right)^2} = \sqrt{225 + 10.47} = 15.35 \text{ A}_{\text{RMS}}$$

At max load and max line, the peak inductor current is given by:

The conduction losses in the inductor can be calculated with RMS current and the DC resistance (DCR) of the inductor. The DCR of the selected inductor, from the datasheet, is:

$$\text{DCR} = 0.9 \text{ m}\Omega$$

This leads to:

Equation 17 Inductor Conduction Losses


$$P = I^2 R = (I_{\text{RMS}})^2 \text{DCR} = 15.35^2 (0.9 \text{ m}\Omega) = 0.2119 \text{ Watts}$$

Using the TINA simulation tool and with the worst case ripple current previously calculated, the resulting ripple voltage for the parallel bank of output capacitors was obtained and is shown here:


Input Capacitance, C_{in}

The same capacitor bank is used for Vout 2: EEETK1E331UP from Panasonic, electrolytic 330uF, 25V capacitor with 200mΩ of ESR and 100nH ESL, ripple current rating of 270mA, and also the capacitor 22uF ceramic, 25V, 5.5mohm ESR, 0.99nH ESL device, three in parallel.


Shown below are the resulting currents in the input electrolytic and ceramic capacitors (I_{ceramic} and I_{electro}), assuming an infinitely large input inductance. Also shown is the resulting capacitor bank ripple voltage. Note that even with the large value electrolytic capacitor, the very low ESR ceramic capacitors carry the bulk of ripple current, and the resulting ripple in the electrolytic is still within its rating with a value of 220mA_{rms} (calculated but not shown here).



Switch and Input Ceramic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 15A Out



Switch and Input Electrolytic Capacitor Currents at 14Vin and 15A Out



Switch Current and Input Bus Voltage at 14Vin and 15A Out

Loop Compensation Components

Since the output filter and PWM gain are the same, the plant is also the same except for the difference in damping resulting from the different load impedance. However, the differences are not significant so the compensation design is the same.

Output Voltage Set Point, Rbias

The output voltage can be set by choosing and calculating R1 and Rbias using Equation 15.

Equation 18 Vout Set Point

$$R_{\text{bias}} = \frac{V_{\text{ref}} \times R_1}{V_{\text{out}} - V_{\text{ref}}} \quad \text{or using the schematic Ref Des:} \quad R_{38} = \frac{V_{\text{ref}} \times R_{38}}{V_{\text{out}} - V_{\text{ref}}} = \frac{0.6 \times 47.5\text{k}}{3.3 - 0.6} = 10.55\text{k}$$

In this design R38 was chosen to be 47.5kΩ. R35 is calculated to be 10.55kΩ, use a standard value of 10.5kΩ.


Remote Sensing

Vout 2 does not offer remote sensing. The VSNS2 and GSNS2 lines are used for reporting purposes only, and should be connected exactly like remote sense lines.

9 Performance Data, 3.3Vout


Output Ripple, Measured Results

Shown below is the actual measured output ripple with the selected capacitors.



Measured Output Ripple on 3.3V at 14Vin and 15A out


Input Ripple, Measured Results



Calculated Input Ripple vs Measured Input Ripple

Measured Efficiency, 3.3V Output Only


Shown below is the measured efficiency of the EVM with only the 3.3V output operating. These measurements were taken with V_{in} measured at the input cap closest to the switching FETs, and V_{out} measured from the inductor pin to the same input cap return.



Measured Efficiency of 3.3V Output Only

Load Regulation, 3.3V Output Only


Shown below is the load regulation of the EVM of the 3.3V output.



Load Regulation of 3.3V Output

Measured Loop Bode, 3.3V Output

Shown below is the measured and calculated Loop Bode response of the EVM for the 3.3V output.




Measured Closed Loop Bode

Startup Waveform, 3.3V Output

Shown below is the measured startup of the 3.3V output.

Input	Output	Iout	
12V	3.3V	15A	
Ch1	Ch2	Ch3	
Vout2 at 500mV/box	Iout2 at 5A/box	Vin at 5V/box	


Ch2 is inverted to better display V and I.



Measured Startup Waveform

Transient Response, 3.3V Output


Shown below is the measured transient response of the 3.3V output.



Measured Pulse Response

10 Device Configuration

The TPS40422 can be configured via the PMBus (pins CLK and DATA) and the Fusion Digital Power Designer (GUI interface). An example of the configuration window that is used to make internal configuration changes to the TSP40422 is shown below:



Advanced Configuration Window


The figure above shows some of the user configurable parameters of the TPS40422 and is made up of sections; Calibration, Configuration, Limits, and On/Off Configuration. The Status section is read only, and consists of data read from the TPS40422 such as Vout, Iout, Vin, and Status words. A full description of each command and status word is available in the Command Section of the TPS40422 datasheet.

Configuration changes can be implemented by changing the value in the Value/Edit box of each parameter. Most boxes allow direct parameter changes such as voltage or current, but some boxes such as IOUT_OC_FAULT_RESPONSE provide a pop-up configuration window as shown below, and others provide a pull-down menu. Select the appropriate radio buttons to make the desired changes.


Once a parameter has been modified, it must be written to the device in one of two ways. The changes can be saved to volatile memory or non-volatile memory. To commit the changes to volatile memory, click on the "Write to

11 TPS40422 EVM PWR091 Assembly Drawing and PWB Layout


The following figures (Figure 32: through Figure 36:) show the design of the TPS40422 EVM PWR091 printed circuit board.




PWR091 EVM Top Layer Assembly Drawing (Top View)




PWR091 EVM Bottom Assembly Drawing (Bottom view)



PWR091 EVM Top Copper (Top View)



PWR091 EVM Internal Layer 2 (Top View)



PWR091 EVM Bottom Copper (Bottom View)

12 Bill of Materials

EVM components list from the schematic shown in Figure 9:

Qty	REFDES	Description	MFR	Part_Number
2	C23 C27	0.47uF, Ceramic, 16V, X5R, 10%, 0402	STD	STD
3	C1 C5 C9	0.1uF, Ceramic, 50V, X7R, 10%, 0603	STD	STD
3	C10-12	1.0uF, Ceramic, 25V, X7R, 10%, 0603	STD	STD
2	C21 C25	1.2nF, Ceramic, 50V, X7R, 10%, 0603	STD	STD
2	C24 C33	470pF, Ceramic, 50V, X7R, 10%, 0603	STD	STD
2	C26 C22	120pF, Ceramic, 50V, NP0, 5%, 0603	STD	STD
6	C31-32 C30 C34-35 C37	1000pF, Ceramic, 50V, X7R, 10%, 0603	STD	STD
4	C19-20 C42-43	22uF, Ceramic, 6.3V, X5R, 20%, 0805	STD	STD
2	C38-39	0.1uF, Ceramic, 6.3V, X5R, 20%, 0805	STD	STD
9	C2-4 C6-8 C36 C40-41	22uF, Ceramic, 25V, X5R, 20%, 1210	STD	STD
6	C18 C15 C44- 47	100uF, Ceramic, 6.3V, X5R, 20%, 1210	STD	STD
2	C28-29	330uF, Electrolytic, Aluminum, 25V, 200mohm, 270mArms, 0.406 x 0.406	Panasonic	EEE-TK1E331UP
4	C13-14 C16-17	330uF, Polymer Cap, 330uF, 6.3V, 0.015 Ohms, 20%, 7343(D)	Kemet	T520D337M006ATE015
4	J4 J6-8	33457, Lug, Solderless, #10 - #10-12 AWG, Copper/Tin, Uninsulated, 0.375 x1.00"	Std	CX35-36-CY
2	D1-2	MBRS340, Diode, Schottky, 3A, 40V, SMC	Fairchild	MBRS340
2	J1-2	PEC02SAAN, Header, Male 2-pin, 100mil spacing,, 0.100" x 2	Sullins	PEC02SAAN
1	J3	AWHW10G, Header, Male 2x5-pin, 100mil spacing, 0.100" x 5 X 2	Assmann	AWHW10G-0202-T-R
2	L1-2	820nH, Inductor, SMT, 27A, Shielded, 20%, 0.9mOhm, 0.512" x 0.571"	Wurth	744355182
2	R1 R4	5.1, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
1	R3	0, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
1	R2	0, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 5%, 0603	STD	STD
2	R5-6	2.0k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
0	R7 R16 R21-23 R34	Open, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
3	R12 R13 R38	47.5k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
2	R8-9	36.5k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
11	R17 R18 R20 R24-26 R28-30 R33 R36	10, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
1	R10	40.2k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
3	R11 R27 R31	49.9, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
2	R15 R32	20k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
1	R35	10.5k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
3	R19 R37 R40	10.0k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
2	R14 R39	4.75k, Resistor, Chip, 1/10W, 1%, 0603	STD	STD
1	J5	ED120/2DS, Terminal Block, 2-pin, 15-A, 5.1mm, 0.40" x 0.35"	OST	ED120/2DS

1	U1	TPS40422RHA, IC, PMBUS synchronous buck controller, QFN-40	TI	TPS40422RHA
2	Q1-2	CSD87350Q5D, MOSFET, Dual N-Chan, 30-V, 30-A, QFN-8 POWER	TI	CSD87350Q5D
2	Q3-4	MMBT3904, Bipolar, NPN, 40V, 200mA, 200mW, SC-75	On Semi	MMBT3904TT1G
1	PCB	PCB, FR-4, 0.062, 2oz Copper all layers., 4.00" x 4.00"	STD	STD

EVALUATION BOARD WARNINGS, RESTRICTIONS AND DISCLAIMER

For Feasibility Evaluation Only, in Laboratory/Development Environments. The REFERENCE DESIGN is not a complete product. It is intended solely for use for preliminary feasibility evaluation in laboratory / development environments by technically qualified electronics experts who are familiar with the dangers and application risks associated with handling electrical / mechanical components, systems and subsystems. It should not be used as all or part of a production unit.

Your Sole Responsibility and Risk. You acknowledge, represent and agree that:

1. You have unique knowledge concerning Federal, State and local regulatory requirements (including but not limited to Food and Drug Administration regulations, if applicable) which relate to your products and which relate to your use (and/or that of your employees, affiliates, contractors or designees) of the REFERENCE DESIGN for evaluation, testing and other purposes.
2. You have full and exclusive responsibility to assure the safety and compliance of your products with all such laws and other applicable regulatory requirements, and also to assure the safety of any activities to be conducted by you and/or your employees, affiliates, contractors or designees, using the REFERENCE DESIGN. Further, you are responsible to assure that any interfaces (electronic and/or mechanical) between the REFERENCE DESIGN and any human body are designed with suitable isolation and means to safely limit accessible leakage currents to minimize the risk of electrical shock hazard.
3. Since the REFERENCE DESIGN is not a completed product, it may not meet all applicable regulatory and safety compliance standards (such as UL, CSA, VDE, CE, RoHS and WEEE) which may normally be associated with similar items. You assume full responsibility to determine and/or assure compliance with any such standards and related certifications as may be applicable. You will employ reasonable safeguards to ensure that your use of the REFERENCE DESIGN will not result in any property damage, injury or death, even if the REFERENCE DESIGN should fail to perform as described or expected.

Certain Instructions. Exceeding the specified REFERENCE DESIGN ratings (including but not limited to input and output voltage, current, power, and environmental ranges) may cause property damage, personal injury or death. If there are questions concerning these ratings please contact a TI field representative prior to connecting interface electronics including input power and intended loads. Any loads applied outside of the specified output range may result in unintended and/or inaccurate operation and/or possible permanent damage to the REFERENCE DESIGN and/or interface electronics. Please consult the REFERENCE DESIGN User's Guide prior to connecting any load to the REFERENCE DESIGN output. If there is uncertainty as to the load specification, please contact a TI field representative. During normal operation, some circuit components may have case temperatures greater than 60°C as long as the input and output ranges are maintained at nominal ambient operating temperature. These components include but are not limited to linear regulators, switching transistors, pass transistors, and current sense resistors which can be identified using the REFERENCE DESIGN schematic located in the REFERENCE DESIGN User's Guide. When placing measurement probes near these devices during normal operation, please be aware that these devices may be very warm to the touch.

Agreement to Defend, Indemnify and Hold Harmless. You agree to defend, indemnify and hold TI, its licensors and their representatives harmless from and against any and all claims, damages, losses, expenses, costs and liabilities (collectively, "Claims") arising out of or in connection with any use of the REFERENCE DESIGN that is not in accordance with the terms of this agreement. This obligation shall apply whether Claims arise under the law of tort or contract or any other legal theory, and even if the REFERENCE DESIGN fails to perform as described or expected.

Safety-Critical or Life-Critical Applications. If you intend to evaluate TI components for possible use in safety-critical applications (such as life support) where a failure of the TI product would reasonably be expected to cause severe personal injury or death, such as devices which are classified as FDA Class III or similar classification, then you must specifically notify TI of such intent and enter into a separate Assurance and Indemnity Agreement.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Texas Instruments Incorporated and its subsidiaries (TI) reserve the right to make corrections, modifications, enhancements, improvements, and other changes to its products and services at any time and to discontinue any product or service without notice. Customers should obtain the latest relevant information before placing orders and should verify that such information is current and complete. All products are sold subject to TI's terms and conditions of sale supplied at the time of order acknowledgment.

TI warrants performance of its hardware products to the specifications applicable at the time of sale in accordance with TI's standard warranty. Testing and other quality control techniques are used to the extent TI deems necessary to support this warranty. Except where mandated by government requirements, testing of all parameters of each product is not necessarily performed.

TI assumes no liability for applications assistance or customer product design. Customers are responsible for their products and applications using TI components. To minimize the risks associated with customer products and applications, customers should provide adequate design and operating safeguards.

TI does not warrant or represent that any license, either express or implied, is granted under any TI patent right, copyright, mask work right, or other TI intellectual property right relating to any combination, machine, or process in which TI products or services are used. Information published by TI regarding third-party products or services does not constitute a license from TI to use such products or services or a warranty or endorsement thereof. Use of such information may require a license from a third party under the patents or other intellectual property of the third party, or a license from TI under the patents or other intellectual property of TI.

Reproduction of TI information in TI data books or data sheets is permissible only if reproduction is without alteration and is accompanied by all associated warranties, conditions, limitations, and notices. Reproduction of this information with alteration is an unfair and deceptive business practice. TI is not responsible or liable for such altered documentation. Information of third parties may be subject to additional restrictions.

Resale of TI products or services with statements different from or beyond the parameters stated by TI for that product or service voids all express and any implied warranties for the associated TI product or service and is an unfair and deceptive business practice. TI is not responsible or liable for any such statements.

TI products are not authorized for use in safety-critical applications (such as life support) where a failure of the TI product would reasonably be expected to cause severe personal injury or death, unless officers of the parties have executed an agreement specifically governing such use. Buyers represent that they have all necessary expertise in the safety and regulatory ramifications of their applications, and acknowledge and agree that they are solely responsible for all legal, regulatory and safety-related requirements concerning their products and any use of TI products in such safety-critical applications, notwithstanding any applications-related information or support that may be provided by TI. Further, Buyers must fully indemnify TI and its representatives against any damages arising out of the use of TI products in such safety-critical applications.

TI products are neither designed nor intended for use in military/aerospace applications or environments unless the TI products are specifically designated by TI as military-grade or "enhanced plastic." Only products designated by TI as military-grade meet military specifications. Buyers acknowledge and agree that any such use of TI products which TI has not designated as military-grade is solely at the Buyer's risk, and that they are solely responsible for compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements in connection with such use.

TI products are neither designed nor intended for use in automotive applications or environments unless the specific TI products are designated by TI as compliant with ISO/TS 16949 requirements. Buyers acknowledge and agree that, if they use any non-designated products in automotive applications, TI will not be responsible for any failure to meet such requirements.

Following are URLs where you can obtain information on other Texas Instruments products and application solutions:

Products

Amplifiers	amplifier.ti.com
Data Converters	dataconverter.ti.com
DSP	dsp.ti.com
Clocks and Timers	www.ti.com/clocks
Interface	interface.ti.com
Logic	logic.ti.com
Power Mgmt	power.ti.com
Microcontrollers	microcontroller.ti.com
RFID	www.ti-rfid.com
RF/IF and ZigBee® Solutions	www.ti.com/lprf

Applications

Audio	www.ti.com/audio
Automotive	www.ti.com/automotive
Broadband	www.ti.com/broadband
Digital Control	www.ti.com/digitalcontrol
Medical	www.ti.com/medical
Military	www.ti.com/military
Optical Networking	www.ti.com/opticalnetwork
Security	www.ti.com/security
Telephony	www.ti.com/telephony
Video & Imaging	www.ti.com/video
Wireless	www.ti.com/wireless

Mailing Address: Texas Instruments, Post Office Box 655303, Dallas, Texas 75265
Copyright © 2008, Texas Instruments Incorporated